Recursive filters are an efficient way of achieving a long impulse response, without having to perform a long convolution. They execute very rapidly, but have less performance and flexibility than other digital filters. Recursive filters are also called *Infinite Impulse Response* (IIR) filters, since their impulse responses are composed of decaying exponentials. This distinguishes them from digital filters carried out by convolution, called *Finite Impulse Response* (FIR) filters. This chapter is an introduction to how recursive filters operate, and how simple members of the family can be designed. Chapters 20, 26 and 33 present more sophisticated design methods.

The Recursive Method

To start the discussion of recursive filters, imagine that you need to extract information from some signal, \( x[n] \). Your need is so great that you hire an old mathematics professor to process the data for you. The professor's task is to filter \( x[n] \) to produce \( y[n] \), which hopefully contains the information you are interested in. The professor begins his work of calculating each point in \( y[n] \) according to some algorithm that is locked tightly in his over-developed brain. Part way through the task, a most unfortunate event occurs. The professor begins to babble about analytic singularities and fractional transforms, and other demons from a mathematician's nightmare. It is clear that the professor has lost his mind. You watch with anxiety as the professor, and your algorithm, are taken away by several men in white coats.

You frantically review the professor's notes to find the algorithm he was using. You find that he had completed the calculation of points \( y[0] \) through \( y[27] \), and was about to start on point \( y[28] \). As shown in Fig. 19-1, we will let the variable, \( n \), represent the point that is currently being calculated. This means that \( y[n] \) is sample 28 in the output signal, \( y[n-1] \) is sample 27, \( y[n-2] \) is sample 26, etc. Likewise, \( x[n] \) is point 28 in the input signal,
\( x[n-1] \) is point 27, etc. To understand the algorithm being used, we ask ourselves: "What information was available to the professor to calculate \( y[n] \), the sample currently being worked on?"

The most obvious source of information is the input signal, that is, the values: \( x[n] \), \( x[n-1] \), \( x[n-2] \), ... The professor could have been multiplying each point in the input signal by a coefficient, and adding the products together:

\[
y[n] = a_0 x[n] + a_1 x[n-1] + a_2 x[n-2] + a_3 x[n-3] + \cdots
\]

You should recognize that this is nothing more than simple convolution, with the coefficients: \( a_0 \), \( a_1 \), \( a_2 \), ..., forming the convolution kernel. If this was all the professor was doing, there wouldn't be much need for this story, or this chapter. However, there is another source of information that the professor had access to: the previously calculated values of the output signal, held in: \( y[n-1], y[n-2], y[n-3], \ldots \). Using this additional information, the algorithm would be in the form:

\[
y[n] = a_0 x[n] + a_1 x[n-1] + a_2 x[n-2] + a_3 x[n-3] + \cdots
\]

\[+ b_1 y[n-1] + b_2 y[n-2] + b_3 y[n-3] + \cdots
\]

**EQUATION 19-1**
The recursion equation. In this equation, \( x[n] \) is the input signal, \( y[n] \) is the output signal, and the \( a \)'s and \( b \)'s are coefficients.

In words, each point in the output signal is found by multiplying the values from the input signal by the "a" coefficients, multiplying the previously calculated values from the output signal by the "b" coefficients, and adding the products together. Notice that there isn't a value for \( b_0 \), because this corresponds to the sample being calculated. Equation 19-1 is called the recursion equation, and filters that use it are called recursive filters. The "a" and "b" values that define the filter are called the recursion coefficients. In actual practice, no more than about a dozen recursion coefficients can be used or the filter becomes unstable (i.e., the output continually increases or oscillates). Table 19-1 shows an example recursive filter program.

Recursive filters are useful because they bypass a longer convolution. For instance, consider what happens when a delta function is passed through a recursive filter. The output is the filter's impulse response, and will typically be a sinusoidal oscillation that exponentially decays. Since this impulse response in infinitely long, recursive filters are often called infinite impulse response (IIR) filters. In effect, recursive filters convolve the input signal with a very long filter kernel, although only a few coefficients are involved.
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The relationship between the recursion coefficients and the filter's response is given by a mathematical technique called the **z-transform**, the topic of Chapter 33. For example, the z-transform can be used for such tasks as: converting between the recursion coefficients and the frequency response, combining cascaded and parallel stages into a single filter, designing recursive systems that mimic analog filters, etc. Unfortunately, the z-transform is very mathematical, and more complicated than most DSP users are willing to deal with. This is the realm of those that specialize in DSP.

There are three ways to find the recursion coefficients without having to understand the z-transform. First, this chapter provides design equations for several types of simple recursive filters. Second, Chapter 20 provides a "cookbook" computer program for designing the more sophisticated Chebyshev low-pass and high-pass filters. Third, Chapter 26 describes an iterative method for designing recursive filters with an arbitrary frequency response.

100 'RECURSIVE FILTER
110 '120 DIM X[499] 'holds the input signal
130 DIM Y[499] 'holds the filtered output signal
140 '150 GOSUB XXXX 'mythical subroutine to calculate the recursion
160 '170 '180 GOSUB XXXX 'mythical subroutine to load X[ ] with the input data
190 '200 FOR I% = 2 TO 499
220 NEXT I%
230 '240 END

TABLE 19-1
Single Pole Recursive Filters

Figure 19-2 shows an example of what is called a single pole low-pass filter. This recursive filter uses just two coefficients, \( a_0 = 0.15 \) and \( b_1 = 0.85 \). For this example, the input signal is a step function. As you should expect for a low-pass filter, the output is a smooth rise to the steady state level. This figure also shows something that ties into your knowledge of electronics. This low-pass recursive filter is completely analogous to an electronic low-pass filter composed of a single resistor and capacitor.

The beauty of the recursive method is in its ability to create a wide variety of responses by changing only a few parameters. For example, Fig. 19-3 shows a filter with three coefficients: \( a_0 = 0.93 \), \( a_1 = -0.93 \) and \( b_1 = 0.86 \). As shown by the similar step responses, this digital filter mimics an electronic RC high-pass filter.

These single pole recursive filters are definitely something you want to keep in your DSP toolbox. You can use them to process digital signals just as you would use RC networks to process analog electronic signals. This includes everything you would expect: DC removal, high-frequency noise suppression, wave shaping, smoothing, etc. They are easy to program, fast...
FIGURE 19-3
Single pole high-pass filter. Proper coefficient selection can also make the recursive filter mimic an electronic RC high-pass filter. These single pole recursive filters can be used in DSP just as you would use RC circuits in analog electronics.

to execute, and produce few surprises. The coefficients are found from these simple equations:

EQUATION 19-2
Single pole low-pass filter. The filter's response is controlled by the parameter, $x$, a value between zero and one.

\[
\begin{align*}
a_0 &= 1 - x \\
b_1 &= x
\end{align*}
\]

EQUATION 19-3
Single pole high-pass filter.

\[
\begin{align*}
a_0 &= (1+x)/2 \\
a_1 &= -(1+x)/2 \\
b_1 &= x
\end{align*}
\]

The characteristics of these filters are controlled by the parameter, $x$, a value between zero and one. Physically, $x$ is the amount of decay between adjacent samples. For instance, $x$ is 0.86 in Fig. 19-3, meaning that the value of each sample in the output signal is 0.86 the value of the sample before it. The higher the value of $x$, the slower the decay. Notice that the
Figure 19-4 shows an example of using single pole recursive filters. In (a), the original signal is a smooth curve, except a burst of a high frequency sine wave. Figure (b) shows the signal after passing through low-pass and high-pass filters. The signals have been separated fairly well, but not perfectly, just as if simple RC circuits were used on an analog signal.

Filter becomes *unstable* if \( x \) is made greater than one. That is, any nonzero value on the input will make the output increase until an overflow occurs.

The value for \( x \) can be directly specified, or found from the desired *time constant* of the filter. Just as \( R \times C \) is the number of seconds it takes an RC circuit to decay to 36.8% of its final value, \( d \) is the number of samples it takes for a recursive filter to decay to this same level:

**Equation 19-4**

Time constant of single pole filters. This equation relates the amount of decay between samples, \( x \), with the filter's time constant, \( d \), the number of samples for the filter to decay to 36.8%.

\[
x = e^{-1/d}
\]

For instance, a sample-to-sample decay of \( x = 0.86 \) corresponds to a time constant of \( d = 6.63 \) samples (as shown in Fig 19-3). There is also a fixed relationship between \( x \) and the -3dB *cutoff frequency*, \( f_c \), of the digital filter:

**Equation 19-5**

Cutoff frequency of single pole filters. The amount of decay between samples, \( x \), is related to the cutoff frequency of the filter, \( f_c \), a value between 0 and 0.5.

\[
x = e^{-2\pi f_c}
\]

This provides three ways to find the "a" and "b" coefficients, starting with the time constant, the cutoff frequency, or just directly picking \( x \).
Figure 19-5 shows the frequency responses of various single pole recursive filters. These curves are obtained by passing a delta function through the filter to find the filter's impulse response. The FFT is then used to convert the impulse response into the frequency response. In principle, the impulse response is infinitely long; however, it decays below the single precision round-off noise after about 15 to 20 time constants. For example, when the time constant of the filter is $d = 6.63$ samples, the impulse response can be contained in about 128 samples.

The key feature in Fig. 19-5 is that single pole recursive filters have little ability to separate one band of frequencies from another. In other words, they perform well in the time domain, and poorly in the frequency domain. The frequency response can be improved slightly by cascading several stages. This can be accomplished in two ways. First, the signal can be passed through the filter several times. Second, the $z$-transform can be used to find the recursion coefficients that combine the cascade into a single stage. Both ways work and are commonly used. Figure (c) shows the frequency response of a cascade of four low-pass filters. Although the stopband attenuation is significantly improved, the roll-off is still terrible. If you need better performance in the frequency domain, look at the Chebyshev filters of the next chapter.
The four stage low-pass filter is comparable to the Blackman and Gaussian filters (relatives of the moving average, Chapter 15), but with a much faster execution speed. The design equations for a four stage low-pass filter are:

\[ a_0 = (1-x)^4 \]
\[ b_1 = 4x \]
\[ b_2 = -6x^2 \]
\[ b_3 = 4x^3 \]
\[ b_4 = -x^4 \]

**Narrow-band Filters**

A common need in electronics and DSP is to isolate a narrow band of frequencies from a wider bandwidth signal. For example, you may want to eliminate 60 hertz interference in an instrumentation system, or isolate the signaling tones in a telephone network. Two types of frequency responses are available: the band-pass and the band-reject (also called a notch filter). Figure 19-6 shows the frequency response of these filters, with the recursion coefficients provided by the following equations:

**EQUATION 19-7**
Band-pass filter. An example frequency response is shown in Fig. 19-6a. To use these equations, first select the center frequency, \( f \), and the bandwidth, \( BW \). Both of these are expressed as a fraction of the sampling rate, and therefore in the range of 0 to 0.5. Next, calculate \( R \), and then \( K \), and then the recursion coefficients.

\[ a_0 = 1-K \]
\[ a_1 = 2(K-R) \cos(2\pi f) \]
\[ a_2 = R^2 - K \]
\[ b_1 = 2R \cos(2\pi f) \]
\[ b_2 = -R^2 \]

**EQUATION 19-8**
Band-reject filter. This filter is commonly called a notch filter. Example frequency responses are shown in Fig. 19-6b.

\[ a_0 = K \]
\[ a_1 = -2K \cos(2\pi f) \]
\[ a_2 = K \]
\[ b_1 = 2R \cos(2\pi f) \]
\[ b_2 = -R^2 \]

where:

\[ K = \frac{1 - 2R \cos(2\pi f) + R^2}{2 - 2 \cos(2\pi f)} \]
\[ R = 1 - 3BW \]
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Two parameters must be selected before using these equations: $f$, the center frequency, and $BW$, the bandwidth (measured at an amplitude of 0.707). Both of these are expressed as a fraction of the sampling frequency, and therefore must be between 0 and 0.5. From these two specified values, calculate the intermediate variables: $R$ and $K$, and then the recursion coefficients.

As shown in (a), the band-pass filter has relatively large tails extending from the main peak. This can be improved by cascading several stages. Since the design equations are quite long, it is simpler to implement this cascade by filtering the signal several times, rather than trying to find the coefficients needed for a single filter.

Figure (b) shows examples of the band-reject filter. The narrowest bandwidth that can be obtain with single precision is about 0.0003 of the sampling frequency. When pushed beyond this limit, the attenuation of the notch will degrade. Figure (c) shows the step response of the band-reject filter. There is noticeable overshoot and ringing, but its amplitude is quite small. This allows the filter to remove narrowband interference (60 Hz and the like) with only a minor distortion to the time domain waveform.
Phase Response

There are three types of phase response that a filter can have: zero phase, linear phase, and nonlinear phase. An example of each of these is shown in Figure 19-7. As shown in (a), the zero phase filter is characterized by an impulse response that is symmetrical around sample zero. The actual shape doesn't matter, only that the negative numbered samples are a mirror image of the positive numbered samples. When the Fourier transform is taken of this symmetrical waveform, the phase will be entirely zero, as shown in (b).

The disadvantage of the zero phase filter is that it requires the use of negative indexes, which can be inconvenient to work with. The linear phase filter is a way around this. The impulse response in (d) is identical to that shown in (a), except it has been shifted to use only positive numbered samples. The impulse response is still symmetrical between the left and right; however, the location of symmetry has been shifted from zero. This shift results in the phase, (e), being a straight line, accounting for the name: linear phase. The slope of this straight line is directly proportional to the amount of the shift. Since the shift in the impulse response does nothing but produce an identical shift in the output signal, the linear phase filter is equivalent to the zero phase filter for most purposes.

Figure (g) shows an impulse response that is not symmetrical between the left and right. Correspondingly, the phase, (h), is not a straight line. In other words, it has a nonlinear phase. Don't confuse the terms: nonlinear and linear phase with the concept of system linearity discussed in Chapter 5. Although both use the word linear, they are not related.

Why does anyone care if the phase is linear or not? Figures (c), (f), and (i) show the answer. These are the pulse responses of each of the three filters. The pulse response is nothing more than a positive going step response followed by a negative going step response. The pulse response is used here because it displays what happens to both the rising and falling edges in a signal. Here is the important part: zero and linear phase filters have left and right edges that look the same, while nonlinear phase filters have left and right edges that look different. Many applications cannot tolerate the left and right edges looking different. One example is the display of an oscilloscope, where this difference could be misinterpreted as a feature of the signal being measured. Another example is in video processing. Can you imagine turning on your TV to find the left ear of your favorite actor looking different from his right ear?

It is easy to make an FIR (finite impulse response) filter have a linear phase. This is because the impulse response (filter kernel) is directly specified in the design process. Making the filter kernel have left-right symmetry is all that is required. This is not the case with IIR (recursive) filters, since the recursion coefficients are what is specified, not the impulse response. The impulse response of a recursive filter is not symmetrical between the left and right, and therefore has a nonlinear phase.
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FIGURE 19-7
Zero, linear, and nonlinear phase filters. A zero phase filter has an impulse response that has left-right symmetry around sample number zero, as in (a). This results in a frequency response that has a phase composed entirely of zeros, as in (b). Zero phase impulse responses are desirable because their step responses are symmetrical between the top and bottom, making the left and right edges of pulses look the same, as is shown in (c). Linear phase filters have left-right symmetry, but not around sample zero, as illustrated in (d). This results in a phase that is linear, that is, a straight line, as shown in (e). The linear phase pulse response, shown in (f), has all the advantages of the zero phase pulse response. In comparison, the impulse responses of nonlinear phase filters are not symmetrical between the left and right, as in (g), and the phases are not a straight line, as in (h). The worst part is that the left and right edges of the pulse response are not the same, as shown in (i).
Analog electronic circuits have this same problem with the phase response. Imagine a circuit composed of resistors and capacitors sitting on your desk. If the input has always been zero, the output will also have always been zero. When an impulse is applied to the input, the capacitors quickly charge to some value and then begin to exponentially decay through the resistors. The impulse response (i.e., the output signal) is a combination of these various decaying exponentials. The impulse response cannot be symmetrical, because the output was zero before the impulse, and the exponential decay never quite reaches a value of zero again. Analog filter designers attack this problem with the Bessel filter, presented in Chapter 3. The Bessel filter is designed to have as linear phase as possible; however, it is far below the performance of digital filters. The ability to provide an exact linear phase is a clear advantage of digital filters.

Fortunately, there is a simple way to modify recursive filters to obtain a zero phase. Figure 19-8 shows an example of how this works. The input signal to be filtered is shown in (a). Figure (b) shows the signal after it has been filtered by a single pole low-pass filter. Since this is a nonlinear phase filter, the left and right edges do not look the same; they are inverted versions of each other. As previously described, this recursive filter is implemented by starting at sample 0 and working toward sample 150, calculating each sample along the way.

Now, suppose that instead of moving from sample 0 toward sample 150, we start at sample 150 and move toward sample 0. In other words, each sample in the output signal is calculated from input and output samples to the right of the sample being worked on. This means that the recursion equation, Eq. 19-1, is changed to:

\[ y[n] = a_0 x[n] + a_1 x[n+1] + a_2 x[n+2] + a_3 x[n+3] + \cdots + b_1 y[n+1] + b_2 y[n+2] + b_3 y[n+3] + \cdots \]

EQUATION 19-9
The reverse recursion equation. This is the same as Eq. 19-1, except the signal is filtered from left-to-right, instead of right-to-left.

Figure (c) shows the result of this reverse filtering. This is analogous to passing an analog signal through an electronic RC circuit while running time backwards. Figure (d) results from filtering the signal in the forward direction and then filtering again in the reverse direction. Voila! This produces a zero phase recursive filter. In fact, any recursive filter can be converted to zero phase with this bidirectional filtering technique. The only penalty for this improved performance is a factor of two in execution time and program complexity.
FIGURE 19-8
Bidirectional recursive filtering. A rectangular pulse input signal is shown in (a). Figure (b) shows the signal after being filtered with a single pole recursive low-pass filter, passing from left-to-right. In (c), the signal has been processed in the same manner, except with the filter moving right-to-left. Figure (d) shows the signal after being filtered both left-to-right and then right-to-left. Any recursive filter can be made zero phase by using this technique.

How do you find the impulse and frequency responses of the overall filter? The magnitude of the frequency response is the same for each direction, while the phases are opposite in sign. When the two directions are combined, the magnitude becomes squared, while the phase cancels to zero. In the time domain, this corresponds to convolving the original impulse response with a left-for-right flipped version of itself. For instance, the impulse response of a
single pole low-pass filter is a one-sided exponential. The impulse response of the corresponding bidirectional filter is a one-sided exponential that decays to the right, convolved with a one-sided exponential that decays to the left. Going through the mathematics, this turns out to be a double-sided exponential that decays both to the left and right, with the same decay constant as the original filter.

Some applications only have a portion of the signal in the computer at a particular time, such as systems that alternately input and output data on a continuing basis. Bidirectional filtering can be used in these cases by combining it with the overlap-add method described in the last chapter. When you come to the question of how long the impulse response is, don't say "infinite." If you do, you will need to pad each signal segment with an infinite number of zeros. Remember, the impulse response can be truncated when it has decayed below the round-off noise level, i.e., about 15 to 20 time constants. Each segment will need to be padded with zeros on both the left and right to allow for the expansion during the bidirectional filtering.

Using Integers

Single precision floating point is ideal to implement these simple recursive filters. The use of integers is possible, but it is much more difficult. There are two main problems. First, the round-off error from the limited number of bits can degrade the response of the filter, or even make it unstable. Second, the fractional values of the recursion coefficients must be handled with integer math. One way to attack this problem is to express each coefficient as a fraction. For example, 0.15 becomes 19/128. Instead of multiplying by 0.15, you first multiply by 19 and then divide by 128. Another way is to replace the multiplications with look-up tables. For example, a 12 bit ADC produces samples with a value between 0 and 4095. Instead of multiplying each sample by 0.15, you pass the samples through a look-up table that is 4096 entries long. The value obtained from the look-up table is equal to 0.15 times the value entering the look-up table. This method is very fast, but it does require extra memory; a separate look-up table is needed for each coefficient. Before you try either of these integer methods, make sure the recursive algorithm for the moving average filter will not suit your needs. It loves integers.